

Implementation of the Monitoring Design: Detailed Workplans (FY15/16 & 16/17)

August 23rd, 2016



Monitoring Design vs Detailed WP

Monitoring Design

-> Intent



Detailed Workplan


-> Action



Priority Focus Areas

	Workplan Implementation			Monitoring Design			
	Year			Low	Medium	Higher	
Pest / Toxicity	FY14/15	FY15/16	FY16/17				
– Water							
Nutrients							
- Synthesis							
 Monitoring Design 					>		
Pathogens							
– Water							
 Additional studies 							
Mercury							
- Sport fish							
– Water							

RMP Budgets – FY14/15 thru FY16/17



Current Use Pesticides/ Toxicity

Same design for FY15/16 and FY16/17:


- Monthly water sampling @ 5 sites
- USGS: Pesticides 158 analytes
 - 30% match

- Science for a changing world
- UCD: Toxicity 3 species
 - Additional \$\$ FY16/17 for Hyallela?

Deliverables:



- Data into CEDEN
- Annual Monitoring Report



Mercury: Fish and Water

Starting FY16/17

Fish – Summer sampling,6 fixed sites (annual)




Water - 5 fixed sites (quarterly) –
 Hg/MeHg, SSC, Chl-a, DOC

Deliverables:

- Summary report
- Data uploaded to CEDEN





Mercury: Prop 1 Proposal to CA DFWS



Pending three-year proposal (FY 17/18 – FY19/20):


- Fish Summer sampling; 6 fixed sites (bass only annual event)
- Water and sediment 6 fixed sites (quarterly) total Hg/ MeHg and ancillary parameters

Deliverables: Summary report; Upload QA data into CEDEN

CA DFWS will notify awards in November 2016

Nutrients - FY14/15 and FY15/16





Rationale for High Frequency Monitoring


- Synthesis: High Frequency Nutrient and Biogeochemistry Monitoring Network
 - Pending approval by SC
- Synthesis: Recommendations for FY16/17 and beyond
 - On-going work

Nutrients: Synthesis/ Modeling/ Statistics

FY16/17 - Nutrient work consists of:

- Update IEP-EMP data synthesis report w/ new data (2012- 2016) + additional constituents (e.g., PO₄, total P, Chl-a, DO)
- Conduct hydrodynamic modeling/particle tracking;
 assess output to identify cost-effective monitoring
- Conduct rigorous statistical analyses (e.g., trends, and, if so, why?)

Deliverables: Technical reports (June 30, 2017)





Pathogens

Two-year study (April 2015 – March 2017)

- Collaborative study developed by Central Valley
 Drinking Water Policy Workgroup
- Monitoring ambient samples at 12 water intakes
 - Cryptosporidium and Giardia -- no exceedances
- Set aside funding for Trigger Study (TBD)

Deliverables: Summary report; Upload QA data into CEDEN





Communication


- RMP Goal: Generate products that inform and educate the public, agencies, and decision makers
 - Quarterly TAC/SC meetings
 - Documents, reports and the Pulse
 - Easily accessible data through CEDEN

 Plan to publish peer-reviewed articles and present at scientific conferences






SFEI-ASC'S REGIONAL DATA CENTER DATA FLOW



Layers - Legends - Basemap - Overlays -




Questions?



Priority Focus Areas


	Workplaı	n Impleme	ntation	Monitoring Design		
	Year			Low	Medium	Higher
Pest / Toxicity	FY14/15	FY15/16	FY16/17			
– Water						
Nutrients						
- Synthesis						
 Monitoring Design 					>	
Pathogens						
– Water						
 Additional studies 						
Mercury						
Sport fish						
– Water						



Current Use Pesticides & Toxicity

- Sacramento River at Hood
- Ulatis Creek at Brown Rd.
- Mokelumne River at New Hope Rd.
- San Joaquin River at Buckley Cove
- San Joaquin River at Vernalis

Pathogen Monitoring Locations



Mercury/ Methylmercury Monitoring Sites

Liberty Island

Little Potato Slough

Middle River at Borden Highway (Hwy 4)

Mokelumne River at New Hope

Sacramento River at Freeport (FPT)

San Joaquin River near Vernalis

